

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO	. FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/501,730	02.	/10/2000	Merry R. Sherman	MVIEW.0050A 4303		
	7590	11/17/2003			EXAMINER	
STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN AND FOX, LLC				PAK, YONG D		
1100 NEW	YORK AVE	ENUE				
SUITE 600)			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON DC 20005-3934				1657		

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/501,730	SHERMAN ET AL.					
Auvisory Action	Examin r	Art Unit					
	Yong D Pak	1652					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess				
THE REPLY FILED 09 September 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>09 September 2003</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): see attached.							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
i. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see attached.							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment (explanation of how the new or amended claims wo			nd an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9,17-28 and 33-41</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 11-16.							
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on $___$ is a	a) approved or b) disappr	oved by the Examin	er.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	•					
0. Other:							

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/501,730

Art Unit: 1652

DETAILED ACTION

An appeal under 37 CFR 1.191 was filed in this application on September 9,

2003. Appellant's brief is due on April 9. 2003 in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).

The amendment filed on September 9, 2003 under 37 CFR 1.116 in reply to the final rejection has been entered, but is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance. For purposes of appeal, the status of the claims is as follows:

Allowed claim(s): none

Rejected claim(s): 1-9, 17-28 and 33-41

Claim(s) objected to: none

Claims 1-9, 11-28 and 33-41 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

Claims 11-16 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 6.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on September 9, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Application/Control Number: 09/501,730

Art Unit: 1652

Claims 1-9, 17-28 and 33-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Williams et al.

Applicants have requested that this rejection be held in abeyance until an allowable subject matter is indicated, upon which applicants will file a terminal disclaimer.

Double Patenting

Claims 1-9, 17-28 and 33-41 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-33 of U.S. Patent No. 6,576,235. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they are claiming common subject matter, as follows: uricase containing less than 2% of non-tetrameric aggregated uricase, various mutants of uricase and PEG-uricase.

Applicants have requested that this rejection be held in abeyance until an allowable subject matter is indicated, upon which applicants will file a terminal disclaimer.

Response to Amendment

The amendment filed on September 9. 2003 has overcome the rejection of claims 1, 4, 6 and 33 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Aleman et al.

Art Unit: 1652

The amendment filed on September 9. 2003 has overcome the rejection of claims 1-5 and 33-34 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aleman et al. in view of Wu et al.

The amendment filed on September 9. 2003 has overcome the rejection of claims 1-7, 9 and 33 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aleman et al. in view of Wu et al.

The amendment filed on September 9. 2003 has overcome the rejection of claims 1 and 17-25 and 27-28 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aleman et al. in view of Delgado et al.

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yong Pak whose telephone number is 703-308-9363. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M. Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapu Achutamurthy can be reached on 703-308-3804. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and 703-872-9307 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

PONNATI-MPU ACHU VIMURTHY

SUPERVASORY EXECUTE EXAMINER TO CONTRACT COOPER 1000

Yong D. Pak Patent Examiner

November 6, 2003